

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,194	12/15/2000	Emmanuel Vyers	NCP3-E42	1141
7590 04/01/2004		EXAMINER		
Karl M. Steins			KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
Steins & Associates Suite 120 2333 Camino del Rio South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				ATERNOMBER
San Diego, CA 92108			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/738,194	VYERS ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repict places the application of the contract	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteness above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate exert the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			,
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a ☐ affidavit, b ☐ exhibit, or c ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amendment of the prop			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 21.			
Claim(s) rejected: 9 - 12, 14 - 17, 19, 20 & 22 - 33.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>	- 1A -
10. Other:	RAMESH.	Kreshnan 3 03/3	ver HY
	` 3X	3 03/3	UJ84 ~

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowanc because: the limitation "semiconductor processing" as applied to a chamber whose pressure is being controlled in the independent claims is met by the prior art admitted to by the applicant. The "stepper motor" recited in the newly presented claim 33 is disclosed in the prior art admitted to by the applicant in Fig. 2.